#### Course syllabus

MBNS608 Laboratory Rotation Training in Neuroscience

#### The academic year 2023

Course ID and Name: MBNS608 Laboratory Rotation Training in NeuroscienceMBNS

Course Coordinator: Prof. Banthit Chetsawang, Ph.D. Email: banthit.che@mahidol.ac.th

#### Instructors:

- 1. Prof. Banthit Chetsawang, Ph.D. (banthit.che@mahidol.ac.th)
- 2. Assoc. Prof. Nuanchan Chutabhakdikul, Ph.D. (nuanchan.chu@mahidol.ac.th)
- 3. Assoc. Prof. Vorasith Siripornpanich, M.D., Ph.D. (vorasith.sir@mahidol.ac.th)
- 4. Assoc. Prof. Sujira Mukda, Ph.D. (sujira.muk@mahidol.ac.th)
- 5. Asst. Prof. Sukonthar Ngampramuan, Ph.D. (sukonthar.nga@mahidol.ac.th)
- 6. Lect. Siraprapa Boobphahom, Ph.D. (s.boobphahom@gmail.com)
- 7. Lect. Dr. Ekkaphot Khongkla, Ph.D. (ekkaphot.kho@mahidol.edu)

Credits: 2(0-4-2) (lecture-practice-self-study)

**Curriculum:** Master of Science Program in Neuroscience (required course)

# Semester offering: Second semester

#### Course learning outcomes:

Upon completion of the course, students are able to:

1. Apply the critical knowledge and technologies in neuroscience to develop the research project in neuroscience. (PLO3) P

2. Acquire experience and skills to conduct research in neuroscience with ethics awareness. (PLO1,2,4) P

3. Acquire scientific communication skills by presenting research projects and experimental data to the public via a short seminar. (PLO5) P

Alignment of teaching and assessment methods to course learning outcome:

Course learning outcome	Teaching method	Assessment methods
1. Apply the critical knowledge	- Mentoring by Pl	- Student evaluation by the

and technologies in		faculty mentor
neuroscience to develop the		
research project in		
neuroscience.		
2. Acquire experience and skills	- Lecture	- Student evaluation by the
to conduct research in	- Mentoring by Pl	faculty mentor
neuroscience with ethics	- Hands-on laboratory	- Research report
awareness.	experience	
3. Acquire scientific	- Research presentation	- Oral presentation score sheet
communication skills via	and discussions	
presenting research projects and		
experimental data to the public		
via a short seminar.		

Course description:

Rotation training in different neuroscience's laboratories; experimental design; performing experiments with research ethics awareness; analyzing and interpreting the experimental data; presentations of the results via a short seminar; research report

Course schedule:

Date: April 19 to May 17, 2024

Time: 09.00-12.00 or Managed by the course coordinator and Faculty mentor

Room RCN Laboratory

Class activity will be held by an onsite laboratory at MB Building, Mahidol University, Salaya

Date/Time	Topic/Details	Number	Class Activity/	Lecturer
		of	Teaching Media	
		Hours		
April 19, 2024	Course Orientation	1	- Orientation	Banthit
09.00-10.00			- Short presentation	and
			on research	faculty
			interests or	staff
			research projects by	
			faculty staff	
April 22-24,	Student rotation in lab 1 (Each	9	- active learning,	Faculty

2023	student selects only 1 lab)		group discussion,	staff
09.00-12.00			- Hands-on	
			laboratory	
April 25-26,	Student rotation in lab 2 (Each	9	- active learning,	Faculty
29, 2024	student selects only 1 lab)		group discussion,	staff
09.00-12.00			- Hands-on	
			laboratory	
April 30-May	- Discussion on a selected topic of	10	- active learning,	Faculty
3, 2024	research interests and research		group discussion,	mentor
09.00-12.00	project		research project	
			preparation	
May 3-May	- Performing experiments with	27	- Hands-on	Faculty
15, 2024	research ethics awareness		research	mentor
09.00-12.00			experiment	
May 16, 2023	- Analysis and interpretation of the	3	- Discussion on a	Faculty
09.00-12.00	experimental data		research project	mentor
			with a faculty	
			mentor	
			- Preparation of	
			research project	
			and experimental	
			data for oral	
			presentation	
May 17, 2023	- Research project and	1	Oral presentation	Faculty
09.00-12.00	experimental data presentation			staff

# Assessment Criteria:

Assessment criteria	Assessment method	Scoring rubrics
Student performance evaluation	(1) Direct observation	Scoring directly from the
by PI and faculty mentor 50%		performance of the student
Research project 20%	(1) Research project	Scoring directly from the quality of the report
Presentation 30%	(1) Short presentation	(1) Information quality and organization of the topic presented

	(2) Handling question and answer
	session
	(3) Verbal communication and
	English proficiency
	(4) Non-verbal communication
	(5) Visual tools

Student achievements will be graded using symbols: A, B+, B, C+ and C based on the distribution of student scores from the whole course.

# Grading system

Final total score (100%)	85	to 100	,	Ą	GF	PA 4.0	
		80	to	84		B+	GPA 3.5
		70	to	79		В	GPA 3.0
		60	to	69		C+	GPA 2.5
		50	to	59		С	GPA 2.0
		45	to	49		D+	GPA 1.5
		40	to	44		D	GPA 1.0

# Date revised: January 21, 2024

Guideline and evaluation criteria for the oral presentation session

Criteria	Excellent	Very good	Adequate	Limited	Poor
	(score = 5)	(score = 4)	(score = 3)	(score = 2)	(score = 1)
Information	The main	The main	The main	The main	The main
quality and	points are	points are	points are	points are not	points are
organization of	explicitly	presented with	somewhat	clear and lack	missed and
the topic	presented with	a good amount	clear but	detail.	have no detail.
presented	impressive	of detail.	could add	Information is	Information is
	detail and	Information is	some more	loosely	disorganized
	organization.	well-organized	detail.	organized and	and off-topic.
	Information is	and linked to	Information is	some are off-	
	directly linked	the topic given.	organized and	topic.	
	to the topic of		linked to the		
	the		topic given.		

	presentation.				
Handling	Gives full and	Answers	Attempted to	Limited	Unable to
question and	substantial	questions fully	answer all	answers to	answer
answer session	answers to all	to all questions	questions but	questions, or	questions
	questions		only some	unable to	
			questions were	answer some	
			answered fully	questions	
Verbal	Speaker's voice	Speaker's voice	Speaker's	Speaker's	Speaker fails to
communication	is very steady,	is steady and	voice is	voice is	deliver a
and English	clear, and	confident.	moderately	unsteady and	proper
language	confident.	Spoken	confident but	lacks	presentation
proficiency	Spoken	language is	could be	confidence.	orally. Unable
	language is	fluent and	developed.	The use of	to deliver
	very fluent and	mostly	Spoken	spoken	presentation
	grammatically	grammatically	language is	language	via spoken
	corrected.	corrected.	mediocre and	needs to be	English
			has some	improved, and	language.
			grammatical	many errors	
			errors.	can be	
				recognized.	
Non-verbal	Speaker	Speaker	Speaker	The speaker	Speaker is
communication	appears to be	appears to be	appears to be	appears	uncomfortable
	comfortable	fairly confident.	generally at	uneasy,	with the
	and confident.	Eye contacts	ease. The	insecure, or	presentation.
	Effective uses	and gestures	moderate use	panicked. Eye	No eye contact
	of eye contact	are generally	of eye contact	contact and	or gesture is
	and gestures	used.	and gesture	gesture are	presented.
	are presented		but not very	rarely used.	
	to support the		effective.		
	presentation.				
Visual tools	Visual aids are	Visual aids are	Visual aids are	Limited visual	No visual aids
	very creative,	typically clear	good in terms	aids are used	are used, and
	easy to read,	and easy to	of quality, but	or difficult to	the
	and greatly	follow.	some points	help	presentation is
	enhance the		can be	audiences	not interested
	presentation.		improved.	follow the	to audiences.
				topic.	

Criteria	Excellent	Adequate	Average	Incompetent
	(Score = 10)	(Score = 7)	(Score = 5)	(Score = 0)
Introduction,	Interesting	A well-formulated	The introduction is	Absence of
background,	introduction with	introduction with	mentioned with a	understandable
and rationale	strong and firm	plausible	loosely	introduction,
of the research	background	background and	constructed	background, or
research	supporting research	rationale of the	background and	rationale.
	proposal.	study is presented.	weak rationale.	
Research	The compelling	The reasonable	The research	The research
question and	research question	research question	question is not	question and
objective	is presented with a	is presented and	interesting and the	objective of the
	clearly-stated	well-related to the	objective of the	study are not
	objective of study.	research objective.	study is not	mentioned and/or
			strongly related to	not related to
			the question.	neuroscience.
Research	The conceivable	The hypothesis is	The hypothesis is	The hypothesis is
hypothesis	hypothesis is	stated and can be	not mentioned	not mentioned.
	formulated with a	related to the	and not based on	
	strong relationship	research question.	the research	
	with a research		question.	
	question.			
Literature	Related studies are	Most of the past	A review of recent	Investigation of
review	in-depth reviewed	related studies are	studies is not fully	previous related
	and supportive of	reviewed, with	relevant and does	studies is not
	the proposal, with	relevant theories	not present	presented or is
	multiple theories	are presented to	sufficient theories	disorganized
	and research	support the	to support the	manner.
	approaches are	proposal.	proposal.	
	described.			
Methodology	Novel and well-	Traditional	Proposed methods	Proposed methods
	designed methods	methods that are	are not fully	are not linked with
	are proposed with	related to research	related to research	research objectives,
	a robust	objectives are	objectives, and not	and do not lead to
	relationship with	presented in detail.	clearly described.	any results. Ethical
	research objectives.	Human/animal	Human/animal	issues are not

	Human/animal	ethical	ethical	resolved.
	ethical	considerations	considerations	
	considerations	have been	have not been	
	have been	approved.	approved	
	approved.			
References	Proper references	References and in-	Some references	References and in-
	and in-text	text citations are	or in-text citations	text citations are
	citations are given	mostly given. The	are missed.	lacking.
	with appropriate	citation format is		
	citation format.	correct in general		
		with some minor		
		mistakes.		
Writing	Remarkably well-	The proposal book	The proposal book	The proposal does
proficiency	written proposal	shows a good	has many	not write in English
	with no or very few	writing system with	grammatical errors	or does not write
	grammatical errors.	some grammatical	and needs major	an
		errors.	language revision.	incomprehensible
				manner.

#### Explanation of criteria assessment of student rotation in the lab by PI and faculty mentor

The scoring level is according to a student's performance in each criterion.

1 = poor; 2 = unsatisfactory; 3 = sufficient, 4 = good; 5 = very good, NA = not applicable.

# Accuracy/precision

The student was very accurate/precise in setting up the experiment, testing the participants and collecting the research data.

# Planning (realization time schedule)

The experiment/study is performed within the prescribed period, corrected for documented delays.

# Organizational skills

The student demonstrated adequate organizational skills during the set-up and execution of the experiment/study.

### Data analysis

The student showed an understanding of the statistical analyses and performed them adequately and independently.

# Level of independence

The student worked very independently during each phase of the internship.

#### Taking initiative

The student took and showed initiative during the internship.

#### Communication

The student communicated effectively with the supervisor and participants.

#### Processing of feedback

The student addressed the given feedback adequately.

# Commitment

The student was willing to give time and energy to the internship and showed involvement.

### Dedication

The student showed up on time and kept promises/appointments that were made.

### Collaboration

The student was able and willing to work together with others.

### Collegiality

The student showed responsibility in sharing the workload.