### Course Syllabus

#### MBNS754 Selected Topics in Contemporary Neuroscience

### The academic year 2023-S2

Course ID and Name: MBNS654 Selected Topics in Contemporary Neuroscience

Course Coordinator: Prof. Banthit Chetsawang, Ph.D. Email: <u>banthit.che@mahidol.ac.th</u>

#### Instructors:

- 1. Prof. Banthit Chetsawang, Ph.D. (banthit.che@mahidol.ac.th)
- 2. Assoc. Prof. Nuanchan Chutabhakdikul, Ph.D. (nuanchan.chu@mahidol.ac.th)
- 3. Assoc. Prof. Vorasith Siripornpanich, M.D., Ph.D. (vorasith.sir@mahidol.ac.th)
- 4. Assoc. Prof. Sujira Mukda, Ph.D. (sujira.muk@mahidol.ac.th)
- 5. Asst. Prof. Sukonthar Ngampramuan, Ph.D. (sukonthar.nga@mahidol.ac.th)
- 6. Asst. Prof. Jiraporn Panmanee, Ph.D. (jiraporn.pam@mahidol.ac.th)
- 7. Lect. Siraprapa Boobphahom, Ph.D. (<u>s.boobphahom@gmail.com</u>)
- 8. Lect. Dr. Ekkaphot Khongkla, Ph.D. (ekkaphot.kho@mahidol.edu)

Credits: 2 (2-0-4) (lecture-practice-self-study)

Curriculum: Doctor of Philosophy Program in Neuroscience (elective course)

Semester offering: Second semester

Pre-requisites: None

#### Course learning outcomes:

Upon completion of the course, students are able to:

- 1. Summarize the critical knowledge of selected contemporary research topics from research and review publications in neuroscience. (PLO2) P
- 2. Integrate the critical knowledge of selected research topics and technologies in neuroscience to generate further research study. (PLO3) P

- 3. Develop the concept paper or pre-research proposal in neuroscience with ethical awareness. (PLO1, PLO3) P
- 4. Acquire scientific communication skills by presenting a concept paper to the public via a short seminar. (PLO4, 5) P

### Alignment of teaching and assessment methods to course learning outcome:

Course learning outcome	Teaching method	Assessment methods
1. Summarize the critical knowledge of selected contemporary research topics from research and review publications in neuroscience.	assignment	assessment of assigned work by the mentor (rubric scale)
2. Integrate the critical knowledge of selected research topics and technologies in neuroscience to generate further research study.	assignment	assessment of assigned work by the mentor (rubric scale)
3. Develop the concept paper or pre-research proposal in neuroscience with ethical awareness.	concept paper	assessment of assigned work by the mentor (rubric scale)
4. Acquire scientific communication skills by presenting a concept paper to the public via a short seminar.	presentation	Oral presentation (rubric scale)

### Course description:

An independent study on selected topics of the contemporary neuroscience research, related to neurological and mental health problems; effects of the brain and behaviors in children; aging of the brain and the neurodegeneration such as Alzheimer's disease; substance abuses; the stress and stress management; new innovative technologies in the neuroscience research; developing concept papers and giving presentations to the class

### Course schedule:

Date: Monday to Friday (Jan 25-Apr 26, 2024)

Time: Manage by the Faculty mentor

**Rooms:** Class activity will be held onsite at Molecular Biosciences (MB) Building, Mahidol University, Salaya, Nakhon Pathom, or online platform through videoconferencing application, either through WebEx or Zoom depending on the situation of the COVID-19 pandemic in Thailand.

Date/Time	Topic/Details	Number	Class Activity/	Lecturer
		of	Teaching Media	
		Hours		
Jan 25, 2024	Course orientation	1	Orientation	Banthit
10.00 am				(online
11.00 am.				zoom
				meeting)
Feb 1 – Apr	1. Literature review of selected	10	active learning,	Faculty
25, 2024	contemporary research topics from		group discussion	mentor
	research and review articles			
	2. Discuss the critical knowledge of	10	group discussion	Faculty
	selected contemporary research topics			mentor
	from research and review publications			
	in neuroscience.			
	3. Concept paper preparation	6	Mentoring by PI	Faculty
				mentor
Apr 26, 2024	4. Concept paper presentation	3	Oral presentation	Faculty
09.00 am				staff
12.00 pm.				

## Assessment Criteria:

Assessment criteria	Assessment method	Scoring rubrics	
Student performance evaluation	(1) Direct observation	Scoring directly from	
by a faculty mentor 20%		performance of the student	
Individual assignment 50%	(1) Concept paper	Scoring directly from quality of	

		concept paper	
Oral presentation 30%	(1) Short presentation	(1) Information quality and	
		organization of the topic	
		presented	
		(2) Verbal communication and	
		English proficiency	
		(3) Non-verbal communication	
		(4) Visual tools	

Student's achievement will be graded using symbols: A, B+, B, C+, C based on the distribution of students' scores from the whole course.

## Grading system

Final total score (100%)	85 to 100	Α	GPA 4.0
	80 to 84	B+	GPA 3.5
	70 to 79	В	GPA 3.0
	60 to 69	C+	GPA 2.5
	50 to 59	С	GPA 2.0
	45 to 49	D+	GPA 1.5
	40 to 44	D	GPA 1.0

Date revised: January 21, 2024

# Rubric for student performance evaluation by mentor

Criteria/Student name	Excellent	Very good	Adequate	Limited	Poor
	(score = 5)	(score = 4)	(score = 3)	(score = 2)	(score = 1)
Literature review	Student	Student	Student	Student	Student
	performance is	performance is	performance is	performance is	performance is
	excellent with	very good with	fair with most	barely adequate	not sufficient to
	the majority of	most	assessments at	with less than	pass since 80%
	assessments	assessments at	the adequate	half of the	of assignments
	rated as	the adequate	level on	assessment at	were not
	proficient on	level on	discussion on	the adequate	completed on
	the literature	discussion on	literature review	level on	the discussion
	review of	literature review	of selected	discussion on	on the literature
	selected	of selected	contemporary	literature review	review of
	contemporary	contemporary	research topics	of selected	selected
	research topics	research topics	from research	contemporary	contemporary
	from research	from research	and review	research topics	research topics
	and review	and review	articles	from research	from research
	articles	articles		and review	and review
				articles	articles
Discussion of research	Student	Student	Student	Student	Student
topics from research	performance is	performance is	performance is	performance is	performance is
and review publications	excellent in	very good in	fair in discussion	barely adequate	not sufficient in
	discussion on	discussion on	on the critical	in discussion on	discussion on
	the critical	the critical	knowledge of	the critical	the critical
	knowledge of	knowledge of	selected	knowledge of	knowledge of
	selected	selected	contemporary	selected	selected
	contemporary	contemporary	research topics	contemporary	contemporary
	research topics	research topics	from research	research topics	research topics
	from research	from research	and review	from research	from research
	and review	and review	publications in	and review	and review
	publications in	publications in	neuroscience	publications in	publications in
	neuroscience	neuroscience	and concept	neuroscience	neuroscience
	and concept	and concept	paper	and concept	and concept
	paper	paper	preparation	paper	paper
	preparation	preparation		preparation	preparation
The effort put into the	The student	The student	The student put	The student put	The student
assignment	worked hard for	worked hard on	effort into the	a small effort	rushed through
	most of the	the assignment	assignment	into the	and did not put
	time on the				effort on the

assignment		assignment	assignment

# Rubric for evaluation of concept paper (total score = 70)

Criteria	Excellent	Adequate	Mediocre	Incompetent
	(Score = 10)	(Score = 7)	(Score = 4)	(Score = 2)
Introduction,	Interesting	A well-formulated	The introduction is	Absence of
background,	introduction with	introduction with	mentioned with a	understandable
and rationale of	strong and firm	plausible background	loosely constructed	introduction,
the research	background	and rationale of the	background and weak	background, or
	supporting research	study is presented.	rationale.	rationale.
	proposal.			
Research	The compelling	The reasonable	The research question	The research question
question and	research question is	research question is	is not interesting and	and objective of the
objective	presented with a	presented and well-	the objective of the	study are not
	clearly-stated	related to the	study is not strongly	mentioned and/or not
	objective of study.	research objective.	related to the	related to
			question.	neuroscience.
Research	The conceivable	The hypothesis is	The hypothesis is not	The hypothesis is not
hypothesis	hypothesis is	stated and can be	mentioned and not	mentioned.
	formulated with a	related to the	based on the research	
	strong relationship	research question.	question.	
	with the research			
	question.			
Literature	Related studies are	Most of the past	A review of recent	Investigation of
review	in-depth reviewed	related studies are	studies is not fully	previous related
	and supportive of	reviewed, with	relevant and does not	studies is not
	the proposal, with	relevant theories are	present sufficient	presented or is
	multiple theories	presented to support	theories to support	disorganized manner.
	and research	the proposal.	the proposal.	
	approaches are			
	described.			
Methodology	Novel and well-	Traditional methods	Proposed methods	Proposed methods are
	designed methods	that are related to	are not fully related	not linked with
	are proposed with a	research objectives are	to research objectives,	research objectives,
	robust relationship	presented in detail.	and not clearly	and do not lead to
	with research	Human/animal ethical	described.	any results. Ethical
	objectives.	considerations have	Human/animal ethical	issues are not
	Human/animal	been approved.	considerations have	resolved.
	ethical		not been approved	
	considerations have			
	been approved.			

References	Proper references	References and in-text	Some references or	References and in-text
	and in-text citations	citations are mostly	in-text citations are	citations are lacking.
	are given with	given. The citation	missed.	
	appropriate citation	format is correct in		
	format.	general with some		
		minor mistakes.		
Writing	Remarkably well-	The proposal book	The proposal book	The proposal does not
proficiency	written proposal with	shows a good writing	has many grammatical	write in English or
	no or very few	system with some	errors and needs	does not write an
	grammatical errors.	grammatical errors.	major language	incomprehensible
			revision.	manner.

# Guideline and evaluation criteria for the oral presentation session

Criteria	Excellent	Very good	Adequate	Limited	Poor
	(score = 5)	(score = 4)	(score = 3)	(score = 2)	(score = 1)
Information quality	The main points	The main points	The main	The main	The main points
and organization of	are explicitly	are presented	points are	points are not	are missed and
the topic presented	presented with	with a good	somewhat clear	clear and lack	have no detail.
	impressive detail	amount of detail.	but could add	detail.	The information is
	and organization.	The information is	some more	Information is	disorganized and
	Information is	well-organized	detail.	loosely	off-topic.
	directly linked to	and linked to the	Information is	organized and	
	the topic of the	topic given.	organized and	some are off-	
	presentation.		linked to the	topic.	
			topic given.		
Handling question	Gives full and	Answers questions	Attempted to	Limited	Unable to answer
and answer session	substantial	fully to all	answer all	answers to	questions
	answers to all	questions	questions but	questions, or	
	questions		only some	unable to	
			questions were	answer some	
			answered fully	questions	
Verbal	Speaker's voice is	Speaker's voice is	Speaker's voice	Speaker's	Speaker fails to
communication and	very steady, clear,	steady and	is moderately	voice is	deliver a proper
English language	and confident.	confident. Spoken	confident but	unsteady and	presentation
proficiency	Spoken language	language is fluent	could be	lacks	orally. Unable to
	is very fluent and	and mostly	developed.	confidence.	deliver
	grammatically	grammatically	Spoken	The use of	presentation via
	correct.	corrected.	language is	spoken	spoken English
			mediocre and	language	language.
			has some	needs to be	
			grammatical	improved, and	
			errors.	many errors	
				can be	

				recognized.	
Non-verbal	Speaker appears	Speaker appears	Speaker	The speaker	Speaker is
communication	to be comfortable	to be fairly	appears to be	appears	uncomfortable
	and confident.	confident. Eye	generally at	uneasy,	with the
	Effective uses of	contact and	ease. The	insecure, or	presentation. No
	eye contact and	gestures are	moderate use	panicked. Eye	eye contact or
	gestures are	generally used.	of eye contact	contact and	gesture is
	presented to		and gesture but	gesture are	presented.
	support the		not very	rarely used.	
	presentation.		effective.		
Visual tools	Visual aids are	Visual aids are	Visual aids are	Limited visual	No visual aids are
	very creative, easy	typically clear and	good in terms	aids are used	used, and the
	to read, and	easy to follow.	of quality, but	or are difficult	presentation is not
	greatly enhance		some points	to help	interested in the
	the presentation.		can be	audiences	audience.
			improved.	follow the	
				topic.	