Course Syllabus

MBNS754 Selected Topics in Contemporary Neuroscience

The academic year 2024

Course ID and Name: MBNS654 Selected Topics in Contemporary Neuroscience

Course Coordinator: Prof. Banthit Chetsawang, Ph.D. Email: <u>banthit.che@mahidol.ac.th</u>

Instructors:

- 1. Prof. Banthit Chetsawang, Ph.D. (banthit.che@mahidol.ac.th)
- 2. Assoc. Prof. Nuanchan Chutabhakdikul, Ph.D. (nuanchan.chu@mahidol.ac.th)
- 3. Assoc. Prof. Vorasith Siripornpanich, M.D., Ph.D. (vorasith.sir@mahidol.ac.th)
- 4. Assoc. Prof. Sujira Mukda, Ph.D. (sujira.muk@mahidol.ac.th)
- 5. Asst. Prof. Sukonthar Ngampramuan, Ph.D. (sukonthar.nga@mahidol.ac.th)
- 6. Lect. Siraprapa Boobphahom, Ph.D. (s.boobphahom@gmail.com)
- 7. Lect. Dr. Ekkaphot Khongkla, Ph.D. (ekkaphot.kho@mahidol.edu)

Credits: 2 (2-0-4)

Curriculum: Doctor of Philosophy Program in Neuroscience (elective course)

Semester offering: Second semester

Pre-requisites: None

Course learning outcomes:

Upon completion of the course, students are able to:

- 1. Summarize the critical knowledge of selected contemporary research topics from research and review publications in neuroscience. (PLO2) P
- 2. Integrate the critical knowledge of selected research topics and technologies in neuroscience to generate further research study. (PLO3) P
- 3. Develop the concept paper or pre-research proposal in neuroscience with ethical awareness. (PLO1, PLO3) P

4. Acquire scientific communication skills by presenting a concept paper to the public via a short seminar. (PLO4, 5) P

Alignment of teaching and assessment methods to course learning outcome:

Course learning outcome	Teaching method	Assessment methods
Summarize the critical knowledge of selected contemporary research topics from research and review publications in neuroscience.	assignment	assessment of assigned work by the mentor (rubric scale)
2. Integrate the critical knowledge of selected research topics and technologies in neuroscience to generate further research study.	assignment	assessment of assigned work by the mentor (rubric scale)
3. Develop the concept paper or pre-research proposal in neuroscience with ethical awareness.	concept paper	assessment of assigned work by the mentor (rubric scale)
4. Acquire scientific communication skills via presenting concept paper to the public via a short seminar.	presentation	Oral presentation (rubric scale)

Course description:

An independent study on selected topics of the contemporary neuroscience research, related to neurological and mental health problems; effects of the brain and behaviors in children; aging of the brain and the neurodegeneration such as Alzheimer's disease; substance abuses; the stress and stress management; new innovative technologies in the neuroscience research; developing concept papers and giving presentations to the class

Course schedule:

Date: October 7-Nov 29, 2024

Time: Manage by the student and Faculty mentor

Rooms: Class activity will be held onsite at Molecular Biosciences (MB) Building, Mahidol University, Salaya, Nakhon Pathom, or online platform through videoconferencing application, either through WebEx or Zoom depending on the situation of the COVID-19 pandemic in Thailand.

Date/Time	Topic/Details	Number	Class Activity/	Lecturer
		of	Teaching Media	
		Hours		
Aug 6, 2024	Course orientation	1	Orientation	Banthit
15.00 pm				
16.00 pm.				
Oct 7 – Nov	1. Literature review of selected	10	active learning,	Faculty
28, 2024	contemporary research topics from		group discussion	mentor
	research and review articles			
	2. Discuss the critical knowledge of	10	group discussion	Faculty
	selected contemporary research topics			mentor
	from research and review publications			
	in neuroscience.			
	3. Concept paper preparation	6	Mentoring by PI	Faculty
				mentor
Nov 29, 2024	4. Concept paper presentation	3	Oral presentation	Faculty
09.00 am				staff
12.00 pm.				

Assessment Criteria:

Assessment criteria	Assessment method	Scoring rubrics	
Student performance evaluation	(1) Direct observation	Scoring directly from	
by a faculty mentor 20%		performance of the student	
Individual assignment 50%	(1) Concept paper	Scoring directly from quality of	
		concept paper	
Oral presentation 30%	(1) Short presentation	(1) Information quality and	
		organization of the topic	
		presented	
		(2) Verbal communication and	
		English proficiency	
		(3) Non-verbal communication	
		(4) Visual tools	

Student's achievement will be graded using symbols: A, B+, B, C+, C based on the distribution of students' scores from the whole course.

Grading system

Final total score (100%)	85 to 100	Α	GPA 4.0
	80 to 84	B+	GPA 3.5
	70 to 79	В	GPA 3.0
	60 to 69	C+	GPA 2.5
	50 to 59	C	GPA 2.0
	45 to 49	D+	GPA 1.5
	40 to 44	D	GPA 1.0

Date revised: July 24, 2024

Rubric for student performance evaluation by mentor

Score	Performance
5	Student performance is excellent with the majority of assessment rated as proficient on
	literature review of selected contemporary research topic from research and review articles,
	discussion on the critical knowledge of selected contemporary research topics from research
	and review publications in neuroscience and concept paper preparation.
4	Student performance is good with most assessment at the adequate level on discussion on
	literature review of selected contemporary research topic from research and review articles,
	discussion on the critical knowledge of selected contemporary research topics from research
	and review publications in neuroscience and concept paper preparation.
3	Student performance is fair with most assessment at the adequate level on discussion on
	literature review of selected contemporary research topic from research and review articles,
	discussion on the critical knowledge of selected contemporary research topics from research
	and review publications in neuroscience and concept paper preparation.
2	Student performance is barely adequate with less than half of assessment at the adequate
	level on discussion on literature review of selected contemporary research topic from research
	and review articles, discussion on the critical knowledge of selected contemporary research
	topics from research and review publications in neuroscience and concept paper preparation.
1	Student performance is not sufficient to pass since 80% of assignment were not completed on
	discussion on literature review of selected contemporary research topic from research and
	review articles, discussion on the critical knowledge of selected contemporary research topics
	from research and review publications in neuroscience and concept paper preparation.

Rubric for evaluation of concept paper (total score = 70)

Criteria	Excellent	Adequate	Mediocre	Incompetent
	(Score = 10)	(Score = 7)	(Score = 4)	(Score = 0)
Introductio	Interesting	A well-formulated	The introduction is	Absence of
n,	introduction with	introduction with	mentioned with a	understandable
background	strong and firm	plausible	loosely	introduction,
, and	background	background and	constructed	background, or
rationale of	supporting research	rationale of the	background and	rationale.
the	proposal.	study is presented.	weak rationale.	
research				
Research	The compelling	The reasonable	The research	The research
question	research question	research question	question is not	question and
and	is presented with a	is presented and	interesting and the	objective of the

objective	clearly-stated	well-related to the	objective of the	study are not
	objective of study.	research objective.	study is not	mentioned and/or
			strongly related to	not related to
			the question.	neuroscience.
Research	The conceivable	The hypothesis is	The hypothesis is	The hypothesis is
hypothesis	hypothesis is	stated and can be	not mentioned	not mentioned.
	formulated with a	related to the	and not based on	
	strong relationship	research question.	the research	
	with the research		question.	
	question.			
Literature	Related studies are	Most of the past	A review of recent	Investigation of
review	in-depth reviewed	related studies are	studies is not fully	previous related
	and supportive of	reviewed, with	relevant and does	studies is not
	the proposal, with	relevant theories	not present	presented or is
	multiple theories	are presented to	sufficient theories	disorganized
	and research	support the	to support the	manner.
	approaches are	proposal.	proposal.	
	described.			
Methodolo	Novel and well-	Traditional	Proposed methods	Proposed methods
gy	designed methods	methods that are	are not fully	are not linked with
	are proposed with	related to research	related to research	research objectives,
	a robust	objectives are	objectives, and not	and do not lead to
	relationship with	presented in detail.	clearly described.	any results. Ethical
	research objectives.	Human/animal	Human/animal	issues are not
	Human/animal	ethical	ethical	resolved.
	ethical	considerations	considerations	
	considerations	have been	have not been	
	have been	approved.	approved	
	approved.			
References	Proper references	References and in-	Some references	References and in-
	and in-text	text citations are	or in-text citations	text citations are
	citations are given	mostly given. The	are missed.	lacking.
	with appropriate	citation format is		
	citation format.	correct in general		
		with some minor		
		mistakes.		
Writing	Remarkably well-	The proposal book	The proposal book	The proposal does

proficiency	written proposal	shows a good	has many	not write in English
	with no or very few	writing system with	grammatical errors	or does not write
	grammatical errors.	some grammatical	and needs major	an
		errors.	language revision.	incomprehensible
				manner.

Guideline and evaluation criteria for the presentation session

Criteria	Excellent	Very good	Adequate	Limited	Poor
	(score = 5)	(score = 4)	(score = 3)	(score = 2)	(score = 1)
Information	The main	The main	The main	The main	Main points are
quality and	points are	points are	points are	points are not	missed and
organization of	explicitly	presented with	somewhat	clear and lack	have no detail.
the topic	presented with	a good amount	clear but	detail.	Information is
presented	impressive	of detail.	could add	Information is	disorganized
(including	detail and	Information is	some more	loosely	and off-topic.
answering the	organization.	well-organized	detail.	organized and	
questions)	Information is	and linked to	Information is	some are off-	
	directly linked	the topic given.	organized and	topic.	
	to the topic of		linked to the		
	the		topic given.		
	presentation.				
Verbal	Speaker's voice	Speaker's voice	Speaker's	Speaker's	Speaker fails to
communication	is very steady,	is steady and	voice is	voice is	deliver a
and English	clear, and	confident.	moderately	unsteady and	proper
language	confident.	Spoken	confident but	lacks	presentation
proficiency	Spoken	language is	could be	confidence.	orally. Unable
	language is	fluent and	developed.	The use of	to deliver
	very fluent and	mostly	Spoken	spoken	presentation
	grammatically	grammatically	language is	language	via spoken
	corrected.	corrected.	mediocre and	needs to be	English
			has some	improved, and	language.
			grammatical	many errors	
			errors.	can be	
				recognized.	
Non-verbal	Speaker	Speaker	Speaker	The speaker	Speaker is
communication	appears to be	appears to be	appears to be	appears	uncomfortable
	comfortable	fairly confident.	generally at	uneasy,	with the

	and confident.	Eye contacts	ease. The	insecure, or	presentation.
	Effective uses	and gestures	moderate use	panicked. Eye	No eye contact
	of eye contact	are generally	of eye contact	contact and	or gesture is
	and gestures	used.	and gesture	gesture are	presented.
	are presented		but not very	rarely used.	
	to support the		effective.		
	presentation.				
Visual tools	Visual aids are	Visual aids are	Visual aids are	Limited visual	No visual aids
	very creative,	typically clear	good in terms	aids are used	are used, and
	easy to read,	and easy to	of quality, but	or difficult to	the
	and greatly	follow.	some points	help	presentation is
	enhance the		can be	audiences	not interested
	presentation.		improved.	follow the	to audiences.
				topic.	