

Course Syllabus
MBMG 514 Protein Structure and Function
Academic year 2018

Course ID and Name: MBMG 514 Protein Structure and Function

Course coordinator: Assoc. Prof. Panadda Boonserm, Ph.D.

Tel: 02-441-9003-7 ext. 1459

E-mail: panadda.boo@mahidol.ac.th

Instructors:

1. Assoc. Prof. Albert J. Ketterman, Ph.D.
2. Assoc. Prof. Chartchai Krittanai, Ph.D.
3. Assoc. Prof. Panadda Boonserm, Ph.D.
4. Assoc. Prof. Surapon Piboonpocanun, Ph.D.
5. Asst. Prof. Sarin Chimnarok, Ph.D.
6. Asst. Prof. Duangrudee Tanramluk, Ph.D.
7. Chalongrat Noree, Ph.D.
8. Duangnapa Kovanich, Ph.D.

Supporting Staff:

1. Chanikarn Boonchuay
2. Chaweewan Chimwai
3. Htut Htut Htoo
4. Monrudee Srisaisap
5. Potchaman Sittipaisan
6. Somsri Sakdee

Credits: 3(2-2-5)

Curriculum: Master of Science Program in Molecular Genetics and Genetic Engineering
(required course)
Doctor of Philosophy Program in Molecular Genetics and Genetic
Engineering (required course for students from B.Sc.)

Semester offering: Second semester

Pre-requisites: None

Course learning outcomes (CLOs):

Upon completion of this course, students are able to:

1. Acquire new knowledge and innovation in protein structure and function

2. Integrate and apply comprehensive knowledge in molecular biology of proteins to solve scientific research questions
3. Analyze and present lab data by using appropriate information and communication technologies
4. Demonstrate scientific integrity, responsibility, and safety practice
5. Demonstrate teamwork, interpersonal skills and responsibilities for the work assignments

Alignment of teaching and assessment methods to course learning outcome:

Course learning outcome	Teaching method	Assessment method
1. Acquire new knowledge and innovation in protein structure and function	(1) Lecture (2) Class discussion	(1) Written examination (2) In-class discussion (3) Quizzes
2. Integrate and apply comprehensive knowledge in molecular biology of proteins to solve scientific research questions	(1) Class discussion (2) Hands-on practice (3) Problem-based learning	(1) Direct observation (2) Lab performance (3) Poster presentation
3. Analyze and present lab data by using appropriate information and communication technologies	(1) Experimental data presentation and discussion	(1) Reports (2) Lab notebooks (3) Short presentation (4) In-class discussion
4. Demonstrate scientific integrity, responsibility, and safety practice	(1) Assignment (2) Lab safety guidelines	(1) Assessment of assigned work (2) Direct observation (3) Class attendance
5. Demonstrate teamwork, interpersonal skills and responsibilities for the work assignments	(1) Group/individual assignment	(1) Direct observation (2) Assessment of assigned work

Course learning outcome	Teaching method	Assessment method
		(3) Assessment of responsibility for assigned work.

Course description:

Molecular biology of proteins; PCR-based site-directed mutagenesis; BioEdit for sequence analysis; fluorescence microscopy; DNA sequence analysis; protein chromatography; antibody production; SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis; protein purification; Circular Dichroism spectroscopy; enzyme kinetic assay; Image J; protein-protein interaction; immunoprecipitation assay; Pymol; X-ray crystallography

Course schedule:

Date: Monday-Friday

Time: 09.00-16.30

Rooms C405 and D401, Institute of Molecular Biosciences

Topic/Details	Time	Class Activity	Lecturer
22 October 2018			
Overview: Molecular Biology of Proteins	9.00 – 10:30 AM	Lecture	Albert
PCR-based site-directed mutagenesis (Part I)	10:30 AM - 12:00 PM	Lab	Chalongrat, Duangnapa, Panadda
PCR-based site-directed mutagenesis (Part II)	1:00 – 4:00 PM	Lab	Chalongrat, Duangnapa, Panadda
24 October 2018			
Master plate preparation	8:30 – 9:30 AM	Lab	Chalongrat, Duangnapa, Panadda
Primer design / Sequence analysis	9:30 AM – 12:30 PM	Lecture / Computer	Chalongrat, Duangnapa, Panadda
Fluorescence microscopy	1:30 PM – 3:30 PM	Lecture	Chalongrat
Liquid culture preparation	3:30 – 4:30 PM	Lab	Chalongrat, Duangnapa, Panadda

Topic/Details	Time	Class Activity	Lecturer
25 October 2018			
SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis	9:00 – 11:00 AM	Lecture	Panadda
Acrylamide gel preparation	11:00 AM – 12:30 PM	Lab	Chalongrat, Duangnapa, Panadda
Protein sample preparation	1:30 – 2:30 PM	Lab	Chalongrat, Duangnapa, Panadda
Image J	2:30 – 4:30 PM	Lecture / Computer	Chalongrat
26 October 2018			
SDS-PAGE	9:00 AM – 12:00 PM	Lab	Chalongrat, Duangnapa, Panadda
Western blot analysis	1:00 – 4:00 PM	Lab	Chalongrat, Duangnapa, Panadda
Discussion / Quiz	4:00 AM – 5:00 PM	Discussion / Quiz / Self- study	Chalongrat, Duangnapa, Panadda
29 October 2018			
Bacterial culture preparation	9:00 – 9:30 AM	Lab	Panadda, Duangnapa, Duangrudee
Protein chromatography	9:30 – 11:30 AM	Lecture	Panadda
Buffer preparation and cell harvest	1:00 – 4:00 PM	Lab	Panadda, Duangnapa, Duangrudee
30 October 2018			
Sonication and centrifugation	9:00 AM – 12:00 PM	Lab	Panadda, Duangnapa, Duangrudee
Nickel-NTA affinity chromatography	1:00 – 4:00 PM	Lab	Panadda, Duangnapa, Duangrudee
31 October 2018			
SDS-PAGE analysis	9:00 AM – 12:00 PM	Lab	Panadda, Duangnapa, Duangrudee

Topic/Details	Time	Class Activity	Lecturer
Desalting and protein concentration assay	1:00 – 4:00 PM	Lab	Panadda, Duangnapa, Duangrudee
1 November 2018			
Circular dichroism	9:00 – 11:00 AM	Lecture	Chartchai
Circular dichroism lab	1:00 – 3:00 PM	Lab	Panadda, Duangnapa, Duangrudee
Discussion / Quiz	3:00 – 4:00 PM	Discussion / Quiz	Panadda, Duangnapa, Duangrudee
5 November 2018			
Enzyme kinetics assay	9:00 – 11:00 AM	Lecture	Albert
Protein-protein interaction: Yeast two-hybrid assay	1:00 – 3:00 PM	Lecture	Surapon
6 November 2018			
Protein-protein interaction: Antigen and antibody	9:00 – 11:00 AM	Lecture	Surapon
Protein-protein interaction: Immunoprecipitation	1:00 – 3:00 PM	Lecture	Surapon
7 November 2018			
X-ray crystallography	9:00 – 11:00 AM	Lecture	Sarin
Pymol	1:00 – 3:00 PM	Lecture / Computer	Sarin
Poster presentation preparation / Self-study	3:00 – 4:00 PM		All staff
8 November 2018			
Poster presentation day	9:00 – 11: AM	Problem-based learning	All staff
After-action review	11:00 AM – 12:00 PM	After-action review	All staff
9 November 2018			
Written exam	9:00 – 11:00 AM	Exam	All staff

Assessment Criteria:

Assessment Criteria	Assessment Method	Scoring Rubric
Laboratory performance 30%	(1) Direct observation (2) Practical examination (3) In-class discussion (4) Short presentation	(1) Ability to follow procedure or to design a procedure for experiment (2) Use of equipment (3) Working area and safety
Laboratory report/ Lab notebook 10%	(1) Reports (2) Lab notebooks	(1) Writing style (2) Report submission time (3) Presentation of data (4) Data analysis and conclusion (5) Lab notebook
Quizzes and exercises 20%	(1) Quizzes (2) Written examination	(1) Comprehension
Problem-based learning presentation 20%	(1) Presentation	(1) Ability to apply knowledge to solve research problems (2) Ability to answer questions
Class participation, Group presentation, Group assignment 20%	(1) Direct observation (2) Short presentation	(1) Class participation (2) Group work (3) Assigned work submission time (4) Group presentation

Student's achievement will be graded using symbols: A, B+, B, C+, C, D+, D and F based on the criteria as follows:

Percentage	Grade	Description
80–100	A	Excellent
75–79	B ⁺	Very Good
70–74	B	Good
65–69	C ⁺	Fairly Good
60–64	C	Fair
55–59	D ⁺	Poor
50–54	D	Very Poor
0–49	F	Fail

Lab Performance Evaluation Rubric				
Criteria	Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Satisfactory (2)	Need to Improve (1)
1. Ability to Follow Procedure or to Design a Procedure for Experiment (20 %)	Actively followed the instructions in the procedure with no assistance. Showed ability to perform additional experiments or tests beyond what was required in the procedure.	Followed the instructions in the procedure with little or no assistance. If the procedure was not provided, the student was able to determine an appropriate experiment to satisfy the lab objectives.	Had difficulty with some of the instructions in the procedure and needed clarification from the instructor or lab partner. If the procedure was not provided, the student needed some guidance about experiments to perform to satisfy the lab objectives.	Had difficulty reading the procedure and following the directions. Several mistakes were made during the experiment. If the procedure was not provided, student was incapable of designing a set of experiments to satisfy the given lab objectives.
2. Use of Equipment (5 %)	Showed proper techniques for handling tools and	Showed proper techniques for handling tools and	Showed adequate care for handling tools and lab	Showed improper techniques for handling with some major errors.

Lab Performance Evaluation Rubric				
Criteria	Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Satisfactory (2)	Need to Improve (1)
	lab equipment without error.	lab equipment with a few minor errors.	equipment with some minor errors.	
3. Working Area and Safety (5 %)	Experiment was carried out with full attention to relevant safety procedures & directions. No incident occurred. Outstanding job on cleaning up working area, tools and equipment. Lab tools were organized and stored with care.	Experiment was generally carried out with attention to relevant safety procedures & directions. No incident occurred. Good job on cleaning up working area, tools and equipment. Lab tools were properly stored.	Experiment was carried out with some attention to relevant safety procedures & directions. A few incidents occurred. Had to be reminded to clean up area and equipment. Sometimes showed disorganized storage of lab tools.	Safety procedures were ignored. Did not follow directions. Several incidents occurred. Did not clean up area and equipment after working. Showed disorganized storage of lab tools.
Total (30 %)	Total points earned =			

Lab Report/ Lab notebook Evaluation Rubric				
Criteria	Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Satisfactory (2)	Need to Improve (1)
1. Writing Style (2%)	Report was neat and well organized with minimum spelling error.	Report was neat and appropriately organized with a few spelling errors.	Report was somewhat neat and organized with some spelling errors.	Report was disorganized with many spelling errors.
2. Report Submission time (1%)	Report was sent on time.	Report was sent one day late.	Report was sent two days late.	Report was sent more than two days late.
3. Presentation	Experimental data was clearly	Experimental data was presented in an	Experimental data was presented in an	Experimental data was poorly presented.

Lab Report/ Lab notebook Evaluation Rubric				
Criteria	Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Satisfactory (2)	Need to Improve (1)
Of Data (2%)	presented with tables, diagrams, pictures or graphs that effectively present the experimental data. Showed clear detail of results and graphical data were labelled accurately.	appropriate format with only a few minor errors or omissions. Showed clear detail of results and graphical data were labelled accurately.	appropriate format but some significant errors were noticed. Some tables, graphical data could be better organized. Some units, labels, and titles were missing.	Graphs or tables were poorly constructed with several errors. Data was missing or incorrect. Some units, labels, and titles were not included.
4. Data Analysis and Conclusion (2%)	Reasonable scientific explanation for the results were discussed and logically analyzed. Conclusion was well written with a complete answer to the question or hypothesis. Provided description of what was learned, possible sources of error, good suggestions for improving the experiment and application.	Scientific explanation for the results were given. Conclusion was appropriately written with a possible answer to the question or hypothesis. Provided description of what was learned, possible sources of error, suggestions for improving the experiment and application.	Scientific explanation for the results were given but neither complete nor accurate. Conclusion was written with inaccurate answer to the question or hypothesis. Description of what was learned, possible sources of error, suggestions for improving the experiment and application were missing.	Scientific explanation for the results were given but neither complete nor accurate. Conclusion was poorly written with inaccurate answer to the question or hypothesis. Description of what was learned, possible sources of error, suggestions for improving the experiment and application were missing.
5. Lab notebook (3%)	Lab notebook was completed including procedures for each	Lab notebook was sufficiently complete	Lab notebook had partial information	Lab notebook was incomplete and difficult to understand.

Lab Report/ Lab notebook Evaluation Rubric				
Criteria	Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Satisfactory (2)	Need to Improve (1)
	experiment, calculation, results and conclusion.	with only minor omissions.	with major omissions.	
Total (10 %)	Total points earned =			

Problem-based learning Presentation Rubric				
Criteria	Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Satisfactory (2)	Needs to Improve (1)
1.Organization (2%)	Information was presented in a logical sequence. Flow of experiments was in order and well planned.	Information was presented in a logical sequence. Most of experiments were in order.	Information was loosely organized. Some experiments were not in order or linked.	Information lacked connection and not clear. Most experiments were not in order or linked.
2.Scientific content (8%)	Main ideas were presented with depth and details. All key elements were included. Experimental design answered all questions. Poster contained accurate information.	Main ideas were presented with appropriate depth and details. Most key elements were included. Experimental design answered almost all questions. Poster contained a few mistakes.	Main ideas were presented but not complete or with superficial details. Some key elements were missing. Experimental design answered some questions. Poster contained some mistakes.	Main ideas were not presented and lacked of details. Most key elements were missing. Experimental design could not directly answer questions. Poster contained many mistakes.
3. Presentation (5%)	Presenter maintained good eye contact with the audience and	Presenter generally maintained good eye contact with	Presenter did not always maintain good eye contact with the audience	Presenter did not maintain good eye contact with the audience and lacked

Problem-based learning Presentation Rubric				
Criteria	Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Satisfactory (2)	Needs to Improve (1)
	appropriately used body motion. Delivery was clear and smooth with good language skills. Visuals were attractive and effectively enhanced the presentation. Length of presentation was within the assigned time limits.	the audience and used body motion to support the presentation. Delivery was clear and smooth with good language skills. Visuals were appropriately used to enhance the presentation. Length of presentation was one minute over the assigned time limits.	and used body motion to support the presentation. Delivery had some broken sentences. Visuals were not well used to enhance the presentation. Length of presentation was more than one minute over the assigned time limits.	body motion. Delivery had many broken sentences and was not clear. Visuals were not used to enhance the presentation. Length of presentation was a few minutes over the assigned time limits.
4.Response to questions (5%)	Presenter answered questions confidently and completely.	Presenter answered most questions but needed some clarification.	Presenter answered some questions but always needed some clarification.	Presenter could not understand or answer most questions.
Total (20 %)	Total points earned =			

Class participation, Group presentation, Group assignment Rubric				
Criteria	Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Satisfactory (2)	Needs to Improve (1)
1. Class participation (5 %)	Used time well in class and focused attention on the lecture and experiments. Actively participated	Used time pretty well. Stayed focused on the lecture and experiments most of the time. Usually	Focused on the class but did not appear very interested. Sometimes provided useful	Participation was minimal. Rarely provided useful ideas when participating in the group and in classroom discussion.

Class participation, Group presentation, Group assignment Rubric				
Criteria	Excellent (4)	Good (3)	Satisfactory (2)	Needs to Improve (1)
	in the group and in classroom discussion.	provided useful ideas when participating in the group and in classroom discussion.	ideas when participating in the group and in classroom discussion.	
2. Group work (5%)	Shared a lot of work with others. Gave ideas and helped others to complete the assigned work.	Shared equal work as others. Gave ideas and completed the assigned work in the group.	Did almost as much work as others. Sometime gave ideas and asked for help from others.	Did less work than others. Did not give ideas or ask for help from others.
3. Assigned work submission time (5%)	Completed assigned work on time.	Completed assigned work one day late.	Needed some reminding; work was late but no more than two days.	Needed much reminding; work was late more than two days.
4. Group presentation (5%)	The presentation was well organized, and easy to follow. All of the group members contributed equally to the presentation.	The presentation had good organization. Everyone gave some presentation but someone gave more contribution than others.	The presentation could be better organized. Certain people did not do as much work as others.	The presentation lacked organization. A few people or only one person worked on the presentation.
Total (20 %)	Total points earned =			

Date revised: 6 August 2018