

# MBMG615 Research Rotations in Molecular Biology

## Handbook 2020/2021

General course guideline ●

Course syllabus ●

RR forms ●

Date revised: 16 December 2020

Poochit Nonejuie

# MBMG615 Research Rotations in Molecular Biology

Academic year 2020 (semester 2/2020)

## General guideline

The goals of the laboratory rotations are to introduce students to various ongoing research topics in the MGGE program and provide students opportunities to explore, by themselves, a variety of research laboratory environments under supervision of faculty members. Students are required to perform 3 lab rotations with the faculty of the MGGE program. This course format encourages students to explore research varieties in the program and assists them to decide which research area and laboratory environment in molecular biology they want to pursue.

Lab rotations serve several functions.

- Students will have chances to discover various laboratories in detail which will help them decide where they will do thesis work according to research topics of interest, laboratory environments, and advisors
- Students will be exposed to different sub-disciplines and techniques in molecular biology and related fields that match their interest
- Students will have opportunities to perform meaningful experiments, improve laboratory skills, and learn how research is accomplished and also how laboratory operates.
- Students will obtain hands-on experience in real research laboratories giving them an opportunity to create substantial contact with faculty mentors and lab members.

## Before each rotation

Before starting each rotation, students should discuss their plans with the rotation mentor. This will help students find appropriate research experience during rotation that fits their main interests and supports their future goal. Importantly, students are strongly encouraged to make rotation arrangements in advance as space is not always available in all labs since rotation mentors can accept no more than 2 students per rotation period. Once the decision has been made, students must submit the complete and signed "MENTOR AGREEMENT FORM (RR01)" to the course-coordinator according to the timeline indicated in this guide book to officially secure the rotation spot. All rotation forms (RR forms) can be found at the back of this guide book.

## During each rotation

Since each rotation is only 4 weeks in length, it is mainly emphasized on gaining research experience. It is neither required nor expected that the rotation project will result in a substantial body of work. However, students are expected to devote a substantial amount of time in the lab during the rotation period to ensure maximum benefit of students.

**After each rotation**

At the end of each lab rotation, students must submit the “ROTATION EVALUATION form (RR02)” to the mentor for evaluation. Note that mentors are encouraged to discuss the evaluation result with students. The rotation mentor will discuss both positive and negative aspects of the rotation with the student. The completed and signed RR02 form will be submitted to the course-coordinator and become a part of the student’s performance. It is recommended that students obtain copies of all lab rotation evaluations for their records.

**At the end**

After completion of the course (all 3 rotations), students are required to choose only one topic from the rotation that most interests them for presentation. Students will present a research finding of the chosen topic to the public that includes a description of the research question, experiments attempted, interpretations, etc. The evaluation will be heavily weighed on efficient presentation and communication skills as well as scientific content by all attending lecturers using the “PRESENTATION SCORE SHEET (RR03”).

## Course Syllabus

MBMG 615 Research Rotations in Molecular Biology  
Academic year 2020

**Course ID and Name:** MBMG 615 Research Rotations in Molecular Biology

**Course coordinator:** Poochit Nonejuie

Institute of Molecular Biosciences, Mahidol University

Tel: 0-2441-9003 Ext 1339

Email: Poochit.non@mahidol.edu

### Instructors:

Prof. Chanan Angsuthanasombat, Ph.D.

Prof. Duncan R. Smith, Ph.D.

Assoc. Prof. Albert J. Ketterman, Ph.D.

Assoc. Prof. Apinunt Udomkit, Ph.D.

Assoc. Prof. Chalernporn Ongvarrasopone, Ph.D.

Assoc. Prof. Chartchai Krittanai, Ph.D.

Assoc. Prof. Kanokporn Triwitayakorn, Ph.D.

Assoc. Prof. Panadda Boonserm, Ph.D.

Assoc. Prof. Saovaros Svasti, Ph.D.

Assoc. Prof. Surapon Piboonpocanun, Ph.D.

Asst. Prof. Duangrudee Tanramluk, Ph.D.

Asst. Prof. Kusol Pootanakit, Ph.D.

Asst. Prof. Sarin Chimnaronk, Ph.D.

Chalongrat Noree, Ph.D.

Poochit Nonejuie, Ph.D.

**Credits:** 3 (0-9-3)

**Curriculum:** Master of Science Program in Molecular Genetics and Genetic Engineering  
(required course)

**Semester offering:** Second semester

**Pre-requisites:** None

### Expected learning outcomes:

1.1 Students will acquire experience and skills in conducting various research in the areas of molecular biology and related disciplines with responsibility and integrity

1.2 Students will acquire professional and interpersonal skills through working in various laboratory environments and by personal time-management

1.3 Students will acquire scientific communication skill via presenting of research findings to the public

### Alignment of teaching and assessment methods to course learning outcome:

Course learning outcome	Teaching method	Assessment methods
1. Students will acquire experience and skills in conducting various research in the areas of molecular biology and related disciplines with responsibility and integrity	- Hands-on laboratory experience  - Mentoring by PI of each rotation	- ROTATION EVALUATION FORM (RR02)
2. Students will acquire professional and interpersonal skills through working in various laboratory environments and by personal time-management	- Hands-on laboratory experience  - Mentoring by PI of each rotation	- ROTATION EVALUATION FORM (RR02)
3. Students will acquire scientific communication skill via presenting of research findings to the public	- Mentoring by PI of each rotation  - Research presentation and discussions	- Research presentation to the public (PRESENTATION SCORE SHEET (RR03))

### Course description:

Research principles in Molecular Medical and Agricultural Biosciences; searching databases or literature related to the project during each rotation; performing experiments in

Molecular Biology with research ethics awareness; responsibility to the work assigned; communicating and working with others effectively; planning to achieve goals efficiently; analysis and interpretation of the experimental data; presentation of the results via a short seminar

**Course schedule:**

Date: Weekdays

Time: Arranged by the mentor of each rotation

Place: Arranged by the mentor of each rotation

Date	Topic/Details	Number of Hours	Class Activity/Teaching Media	Lecturer
1 Feb – 26 Feb, 2021	Laboratory Rotation 1	52	Hands-on laboratory experience / Feedback from faculty mentor	Laboratory rotation mentor
1 Mar – 26 Mar, 2021	Laboratory Rotation 2	52		
29 Mar – 23 Apr, 2021	Laboratory Rotation 3	52		
5 May 2021	<b>Rotation presentation</b>	14	Presentation	All lecturers

**Assessment Criteria:**

Student performance evaluation 60 % (20% from each rotation)

Research presentation to public 35 %

Form submission by deadlines 5 %

Student's achievement will be graded using symbols: A, B+, B, C+, C based on the distribution of students' scores from the whole course.

Important dates :

Date	For student	For faculty
Jan 2021	Rotation Orientation & MENTOR AGREEMENT FORM (RR01) distribution	
Jan 27, 2021	Complete MENTOR AGREEMENT FORM (RR01) submission <a href="#">to course-co</a> (rotation 1)	
Feb 1 - 26, 2021	<b>Rotation 1</b>	
Feb 24, 2021	Complete MENTOR AGREEMENT FORM (RR01) submission <a href="#">to course-co</a> (rotation 2)	
Mar 3, 2021	Complete ROTATION EVALUATION form (RR02) submission <a href="#">to course-co</a> (rotation 1)	
Mar 1 - 26, 2021	<b>Rotation 2</b>	
Mar 24, 2021	Complete MENTOR AGREEMENT FORM (RR01) submission <a href="#">to course-co</a> (rotation 3)	
Mar 31, 2021	Complete ROTATION EVALUATION form (RR02) submission <a href="#">to course-co</a> (rotation 2)	
Mar 29 – Apr 23, 2021	<b>Rotation 3</b>	
Apr 28, 2021	Complete ROTATION EVALUATION form (RR02) submission <a href="#">to course-co</a> (rotation 3)	
May 5, 2021	<b>Research presentation</b>	
May 5, 2021		PRESENTATION SCORE SHEET (RR03) submission <a href="#">to course-co</a>

Date revised :16 December 2020

# RR forms

RR01: MENTOR AGREEMENT FORM  
RR02: ROTATION EVALUATION FORM  
RR03: PRESENTATION SCORE SHEET



**MBMG615 Research Rotations in Molecular Biology**

**MENTOR AGREEMENT FORM (RR01)**

Student Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Student ID: \_\_\_\_\_

Faculty mentor: \_\_\_\_\_

***FACULTY MENTOR AGREEMENT***

Faculty – Please read and complete the following statement. Then, sign your signature on the appropriate line.

I, \_\_\_\_\_ representing the Molecular genetics and genetic engineering (MGGE)

M.Sc. Program will act as the faculty mentor of \_\_\_\_\_ during “MBMG615 Research

Rotations in Molecular Biology” lab rotation (choose only 1 option)

1 (from Feb 1, 2021 to Feb 26, 2021)

2 (from Mar 1, 2021 to Mar 26, 2021)

3 (from Mar 29, 2021 to Apr 23, 2021)

and I am on the list of lecturers that are eligible for the MBMG615 course academic year 2020 indicated on the MBMG615

TQF3 document (please see detail on the second page).

***SIGNATURES***

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Student Name

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Faculty Mentor

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Poochit Nonejuie  
 (MBMG615 Course coordinator)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Date

This form is to be completed by the faculty mentor once an agreement has been made between the student and mentor prior to the beginning of the lab rotation. Students are responsible to return the form signed by student and faculty mentor to the MBMG615 course coordinator prior to the indicated deadlines (see course syllabus).



**Lecturers that are eligible for the MBMG615 academic year 2020**

Prof. Chanan Angsuthanasombat, Ph.D.

Prof. Duncan R. Smith, Ph.D.

Assoc. Prof. Albert J. Ketterman, Ph.D.

Assoc. Prof. Apinunt Udomkit, Ph.D.

Assoc. Prof. Chalernporn Ongvarrasopone, Ph.D.

Assoc. Prof. Chartchai Krittanai, Ph.D.

Assoc. Prof. Kanokporn Triwitayakorn, Ph.D.

Assoc. Prof. Panadda Boonserm, Ph.D.

Assoc. Prof. Saovaras Svasti, Ph.D.

Assoc. Prof. Surapon Piboonpocanun, Ph.D.

Asst. Prof. Duangrudee Tanramluk, Ph.D.

Asst. Prof. Kusol Pootanakit, Ph.D.

Asst. Prof. Sarin Chimnaronk, Ph.D.

Chalongrat Noree, Ph.D.

Poochit Nonejuie, Ph.D.



**MBMG615 Research Rotations in Molecular Biology**

**ROTATION EVALUATION form (RR02)**

**Student:** This form is to be completed by the student prior to the indicated deadlines (see course syllabus) and returned to the faculty mentor for evaluation

**Faculty mentor:** Please fill out the evaluation part for the named student. Please note:

- Faculty mentors are encouraged to discuss evaluation result with students.
- The complete and signed form should be delivered to the course coordinator by the student.

Student Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Student ID: \_\_\_\_\_

Faculty mentor: \_\_\_\_\_

Period:

- 1 (from Feb 1, 2021 to Feb 26, 2021)
- 2 (from Mar 1, 2021 to Mar 26, 2021)
- 3 (from Mar 29, 2021 to Apr 23, 2021)

**STUDENT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION** (to be completed by faculty mentor)

Please see the evaluation rubric at the back of this form.

<i>Research and Professional skills (60%)</i>											
Criteria	Excellent		Good		Satisfactory			Need improvement			
	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
Laboratory performance											
Time-management skill											
Safety practices											
Lab notebook											
<i>Interpersonal skills (40%)</i>											
Criteria	Excellent		Good		Satisfactory			Need improvement			
	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
Communication skills											
Relationship with others											
Conflict Management and Responsibility											



Additional comments :

*SIGNATURES*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Student Name

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Faculty Mentor

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date



Rotation evaluation rubric

Criteria	Excellent (10-9)	Good (8-7)	Satisfactory (6-4)	Need improvement (3-0)
Research and Professional skills (60%)	<b>Laboratory performance</b> Actively followed the instructions in the procedure with little or no assistance. If the procedure was not provided, the student was able to determine an appropriate experiment to satisfy the lab objectives independently.	Followed the instructions in the procedure with some assistance. If the procedure was not provided, the student needed a little guidance about experiments to perform to satisfy the lab objectives.	Had difficulty with some of the instructions in the procedure and needed clarification from the instructor or lab partner. If the procedure was not provided, student needed some guidance about experiments to perform to satisfy the lab objectives.	Had difficulty understanding the procedure and following the directions. Several mistakes were made during the experiment. If the procedure was not provided, student was incapable of designing a set of experiments to satisfy the given lab objectives.
	<b>Time-management skill</b> Students is an efficient time manager, applies best effort to all projects and seeks out further challenges to improve skills.	Student manages rotation time well and demonstrates good effort on all projects.	Student does not manage rotation time efficiently and therefore does not make adequate progress on projects.	Student wastes rotation time and make no progress on projects.
	<b>Safety practices</b> Lab was carried out with full attention to relevant safety procedures & directions. No incident occurred. Outstanding job cleaning up working area, tools and equipment. Lab tools were organized and stored with care	Lab was generally carried out with attention to relevant safety procedures & directions. No incident occurred. Good job on cleaning up working area, tools and equipment. Lab tools were properly stored.	Lab was carried out with some attention to relevant safety procedures & directions. A few incidents occurred. Had to be reminded to clean up area and equipment. Sometimes showed disorganized storage of lab tools.	Safety procedures were ignored. Did not follow directions. Several incidents occurred. Did not clean up area and equipment after working. Showed disorganized storage of lab tools.
	<b>Lab notebook</b> Lab notebook was complete including procedure for each experiment, calculation, results and conclusion. Easy to follow.	Lab notebook was sufficiently complete with only minor omissions.	Lab notebook had partial information with major omissions.	Lab notebook was incomplete and difficult to understand.
Interpersonal skills (40%)	<b>Communication skills</b> Engages in conversation in ways that facilitate others contributions by constructively building upon or synthesizing the contributions of others. Always listens attentively and respectfully to instructions.	Engages in conversation in ways that facilitate others contributions by restating the views of others and/or asking questions for clarification. Listens respectfully and quietly to instruction.	Engages in conversation by taking turns and listening to others without interrupting. Often needs reminders to listen quietly and attentively to instruction.	Does not engage in conversation nor listening to others. Student shows a lack of respect for self and others and/ or disrespect for teacher during instruction
	<b>Relationship with others</b> Student is always helpful and polite to others in the group. Shows genuine respect for individual differences and demonstrates good teamwork. Always uses appropriate and inoffensive language and begins topic related conversations.	Student is helpful and polite to members of their group. Demonstrates respect for individual difference and is cooperative. Student uses appropriate and unoffensive language in conversations.	Student is sometimes impolite to members of their group. Student uses language that disrespects or offends others in the room at times. Does not always work cooperatively with teacher and others.	Student is often rude when interacting with members of their group. Student consistently needs to be reminded to use appropriate and less offensive language.
	<b>Conflict Management and Responsibility</b> Student shows strengths in conflict resolution, accepts, gives, and uses constructive feedback well. Accepts total responsibility for personal behavior and acts in an ethical manner.	Student resolves conflicts appropriately, gives, accepts, and uses constructive feedback. Accepts responsibility for personal behavior.	Student has trouble resolving conflict or accepting, giving constructive feedback. Student often does not accept responsibility for own behavior and blames others.	Student does not respond appropriately to conflict and has trouble handling emotions. Student often blames others and doesn't reflect on own behaviors.



**MBMG615 Research Rotations in Molecular Biology**

**PRESENTATION SCORE SHEET (RR03)**

Presenter name \_\_\_\_\_

Evaluator name \_\_\_\_\_ Date/Time \_\_\_\_\_

**PRESENTATION SCORE** (to be completed by faculty, please give score by making a circle "○" on the number listed in the table below)

Criteria		Excellent (10-9)		Good (8-7)		Satisfactory (6-4)			Need improvement (3-0)			
Main content	<b>Background and Research Question:</b> Did the presenter provide an understanding of background and clearly present the research question? <b>(15%)</b>	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	<b>Experiment(s) performed to answer research question:</b> Did the presenter clearly describe experiment performed? <b>(15%)</b>	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	<b>Research finding discussions:</b> Did the presenter provide a clear discussion? <b>(10%)</b>	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
Slide quality	<b>Quality of the Slide:</b> Was the slide well-organized, insightful, and attractive? <b>(10%)</b>	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	<b>Reference/citations:</b> Did the presenter appropriately cite references? <b>(5%)</b>	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	<b>Spelling &amp; Grammar:</b> Correct spelling and grammar? <b>(5%)</b>	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
Presentation performance	<b>Quality of the presenter:</b> Enthusiastic, good stage presence, and confident? Is narration engaging? <b>(20%)</b>	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
	<b>Response to questions:</b> Did the presenter demonstrate knowledge of the material and can explain and elaborate on questions <b>(20%)</b>	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0

Additional comments (Weaknesses?/How to improve?) :